REMARKS

The present amendment and request for reconsideration is filed in response to the Office

Action mailed August 25, 2003, the period of response having been extended to February 25,

2004. Claims 35-49 are pending in the application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to the drawings because they do not

include FIGURE 3F. Applicants submit that FIGURE 3F was included in the originally

submitted informal drawings to the left of FIGURE 3B. FIGURE 3F was inadvertently omitted

from the formal drawings filed on April 23, 2001. Enclosed with this response is a new drawing

sheet including FIGURE 3F. It is requested that the Examiner enter the new drawing sheet and

withdraw the rejection.

In addition, the Examiner has objected to the specification on page 6, line 30. In

response, applicants have amended the specification as requested by the Examiner.

Claims 1-14, 20, 22, and 28-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by Leipold. In order to advance prosecution and without surrendering any scope of

protection, applicants are cancelling Claims 1-14, 20, 22, and 28-34 to be replaced with new

Claims 35-49. These claims are directed to the same subject matter, namely methods of

translating layout data for use by a mask writer, corresponding computer readable media

containing instructions of the method and files for use by a mask writer that are prepared

according to the methods. Although the rejected claims are canceled rendering the rejection

moot, applicants submit that the reference does not anticipate the newly submitted claims.

///

///

///

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 The Leipold reference cited by the Examiner discloses a mechanism for making a

hierarchy consistent between a schematic file and a shapes file. As can be seen in Col. 4,

line 20-Col. 5, line 30, the invention in the Leipold patent modifies cells within a hierarchy such

that the hierarchy is consistent between a schematic file and a shapes file that is to be compared

by a verification program. If there are multiple instances of a modified cell, new instances of the

cell are created and the schematic modifier editor (SHME) repeats the step recursively traveling

up the hierarchy tree. See Col. 4, lines 39-45. Once the hierarchy has been modified, the

modified shapes file is then used to generate photo masks employing the desired shapes using

conventional techniques. See Col. 5, lines 25-79. The masks in turn are used in conventional

semiconductor manufacturing processes to produce product chips.

In contrast, the present invention is a technique for selectively reducing the hierarchy of a

hierarchical input file for transfer to a mask writing tool without having to flatten the entire data

file. Because the Leipold reference only suggests using "conventional techniques" to produce a

mask, applicants submit that it cannot anticipate the subject matter of independent Claims 35, 41,

46, 47, 48, and 49 as well as the claims that depend thereon.

In light of the above, it is requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and pass

this case to issue.

///

///

///

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**LLC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

Should the Examiner have any additional questions regarding the application, he is invited to call applicants' attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

Rodney C. Tullett

Registration No. 34,034

Direct Dial No. 206,695,1730

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

February 24, 2004 Jamela h Sucker

RCT:pt